



Oklahomans For Life Letter

Vol. 33, No. 2

www.OkForLife.org

October 2008

State Senate Key to Unborn Child's Future; Republicans Consistently Defend Life

As **pro-life Republican U.S. Senator Jim Inhofe** is defending his seat in Congress against **pro-abortion Democrat Andrew Rice**, a simultaneous battle rages for majority control of the Oklahoma state Senate, currently split 24-24 between Republicans and Democrats.

The state Senate contest has serious ramifications for the unborn child. **All 24 Republicans are pro-life**; of the 24 Democrats, about one-third are pro-life, one-third are pro-abortion, and one-third have mixed voting records. The majority party will set the legislative agenda, name the committee chairmen, and organize and run the Senate.

In major races, **pro-life Republican Dan Newberry** is opposing incumbent Democrat Nancy Riley of Tulsa (on eight key Senate votes the past two years, **Senator Riley voted pro-abortion four times** [see p. 2], pro-life three times, and was absent once, when she was the only senator missing [votes at www.OkForLife.org]); **pro-life Republican Dr. Jim Halligan**, former OSU president, is running for a Stillwater seat long held by Democrats; and **pro-life Republican Kenny Sherrill** of McAlester is challenging pro-abortion Democrat Richard Lerblance for Democrat Gene Stipe's former seat. On eight key Senate votes the past two years, **Senator Lerblance** has compiled a **100% pro-abortion voting record** (www.OkForLife.org).

the state Senate the past two years, his opponent, Democratic state Senator **Andrew Rice**, has compiled a **100% pro-abortion voting record** (www.OkForLife.org).

Candidates Surveyed on Right-to-Life Issue

We sent follow-up surveys for the General Election November 4 to candidates who did not answer our June survey. The responses of federal candidates appear on page 4. A different set of questions, and the responses of candidates for the Oklahoma state legislature and the corporation commission, appear on pages 5 through 8.

The surveys, and this entire newsletter, are posted on our Oklahomans For Life website, www.OkForLife.org.

Parties Adopt Platforms: Democrats Pro-Abortion, Republicans Pro-Life

The platform adopted in August by the Democratic National Convention states that the Democratic Party "**strongly and unequivocally supports Roe v. Wade and a woman's right to choose a safe and legal abortion, regardless of ability to pay, and we oppose any and all efforts to weaken or undermine that right.**"

The party platform adopted in September by the Republican National Convention states that the Republican Party "**affirm[s] that the unborn child has a fundamental individual right to life which cannot be infringed.... We support the appointment of judges who respect traditional family values and the sanctity and dignity of innocent human life.**"

For voting records of **pro-abortion Barack Obama** and **pro-life John McCain**, go to www.OkForLife.org and click on *Presidential Candidates*.

Email-Alert Sign Up www.OkForLife.org

October 10 Deadline to Register to Vote:

Go to our website, www.OkForLife.org

All four of Oklahoma's Republican members of the U. S. House of Representatives – **John Sullivan, Frank Lucas, Tom Cole, and Mary Fallin** – have **100% pro-life voting records**; Democratic Congressman **Dan Boren** has a **67% pro-life voting record**.

U.S. Sen. Jim Inhofe's been a strong pro-life leader and outspoken advocate for the unborn child throughout his career in public service. **Senator Inhofe has compiled a 100% pro-life voting record through his 22 years in the U.S. House and U.S. Senate.** On eight key votes in

An Open Letter To the Husband of Senator Nancy Riley

Jerry Riley, husband of state Senator Nancy Riley, took exception to our writing in the July Oklahomans For Life Letter that “Senator Riley voted pro-abortion four times” on key votes on the Senate floor over the past two years. Below is our response.

Dear Jerry,

Your complaint about our description of Nancy’s votes deserves a reply. Nancy did vote pro-abortion four times on the Senate floor, as our July newsletter said, and her votes (posted on our website, www.OkForLife.org) prevented the pro-life bill from becoming law.

The legislation at issue, Senate Bill (SB) 714, was introduced to get government out of the abortion business by restricting the use of state facilities or state employees for the performance of non-lifesaving abortions.

We described Nancy’s votes, not her *position*. Votes are more important than positions because votes are concrete actions that have tangible effects.

The member of Congress whose *position* you compared to Nancy’s position has, in fact, voted pro-life 100% of the time during his 22 years in Washington. In spite of his taking the “position” that abortion should be legal in the case of rape or incest, that is rarely the way the issue presents itself for a vote. The individual you referred to has proven his priorities through his actions: on scores of votes over more than two decades in Congress, he has come down on the side of life 100% of the time.

Seldom, in Congress or in the legislature, is there an isolated, separate vote on abortion in the case of rape or incest. So a lawmaker who favors a rape or incest exception is faced with a broader question: what shall my priority be – voting against a pro-life bill on the grounds that a very small number of abortions might occur following rape, or voting pro-life because over 99% of the unborn children affected were not conceived through rape.

There have been over 50 million babies aborted in the United States since 1973.

I’ve had discussions in the past with one of Nancy’s Senate colleagues who holds the same “position” that Nancy now holds regarding abortion in the case of rape. As he has said, the issue rarely presents itself in that way for a vote, and so, in analyzing the concrete question actually before him on the floor, he feels compelled to do the greater good, and to come down on the side of life.

Nancy could have introduced an amendment to add a rape-and-incest exception to SB 714, either in committee or on the floor. (She was on the committee through which the bill passed.) But she did not. In fact, on the day of the committee vote, Nancy approached me at the Capitol and said, “I want you to know that I’m still pro-life.” I inferred

that she meant she had not changed her position on abortion, even though she had switched political parties from Republican to Democrat. Having not offered a rape-and-incest amendment to the bill, she voted, later in the session, against the entire bill because, she said, it did not contain a rape-and-incest exception.

Jerry, I reviewed our 2000 and 2004 Candidate Surveys that Nancy answered when she ran for the Senate, and the 2006 questionnaire she answered when she ran for lieutenant-governor. In all three cases she answered “Yes” to question #1 (life-of-the-mother exception only) – the survey’s most important question. That question, from the 2006 survey (2000 and 2004 were virtually identical) reads: “*Upon reversal of the U.S. Supreme Court’s Roe v. Wade decision, will you vote for a law that would protect the lives of unborn children and prohibit abortion except to prevent the death of the mother?*” Nancy answered “Yes” all three years. Having expressed her support for a life-of-the-mother exception only in 2000, 2004 and 2006, Nancy’s abandoning of that position in 2007 resulted in the defeat of the pro-life bill, SB 714. **(It twice failed by the margin of a single vote – Nancy’s vote.)**

Moreover, we asked a question, #7, on our 2000 Candidate Survey that describes precisely the type of legislation represented by SB 714. Nancy answered “Yes” to the question, “*Would you vote for a law to get government out of the abortion business by preventing the use of tax funds or state facilities to perform abortions which are not necessary to avert the death of the mother, and to prevent state employees from performing, referring for, or counseling for abortions, other than those necessary to avert the death of the mother?*” Nancy’s “No” vote on SB 714 ran directly contrary to the support she had expressed for this identical type of legislation.

The votes on SB 714 were crucially important, the most important abortion votes in many years.

Nothing we can do presently, under current Supreme Court decisions, will prohibit abortion. So we are reduced to saving lives at the margin: withholding government encouragement or endorsement of abortion, recoiling from public promotion or facilitation of abortion, refusing to grant the state’s seal of approval for abortion... ***That is what SB 714 would have done.***

The U.S. Supreme Court said in the 1989 *Webster* decision that states have this right. There is no public duty to pay for the private “right” to destroy a life. We need not grant the use of public facilities to carry out the killing. We need not allow state-salaried employees to conduct search-and-destroy missions against the most vulnerable little members of our human family. **We need not devote tax dollars – paid by pro-life citizens across our state – to the destruction of the next generation.**

That’s the principle that SB 714 upheld (or, rather, that it *would* have upheld). It would have made clear that taxpayer endorsement of the taking of innocent human lives is not the public policy of our state. It would have

made clear that, even though *Roe v. Wade* legalized abortion on demand, Oklahomans chafe under that unjust decision and continue to oppose and reject it.

Enclosed is a letter I wrote on April 23, 2007 to Nancy and other Senators following Governor Brad Henry's veto of SB 714. Nancy subsequently voted two different times to prevent the pro-life bill from becoming law by voting to sustain the pro-abortion veto.

Dear Senator,

Planned Parenthood board member Eli Reshef, MD, deferred to Planned Parenthood board member Dana Stone, MD, when Governor Henry asked who wanted to join him at the podium at Wednesday's veto event. *The Veto Celebration* – that's how it was staged – *was as sad a spectacle as I have witnessed in my lifetime.* Loud and sustained applause by an excited and joyous pro-abortion audience, and smiles as wide as they were **incongruous**...

Incongruous is the word that best describes the event. A celebration for a continuation of **unfettered killing in state institutions** . . . Apparently oblivious to the irony, the Governor said he hoped there wouldn't be a 'political bloodbath.' He didn't offer any thoughts regarding the **actual** bloodbath resulting from the babies being killed by the state in public institutions by taxpayer-salaried personnel.

It was appropriate that Planned Parenthood officials were so prominently featured. Planned Parenthood operates the biggest chain of abortion mills in the country: over a quarter of a million babies are killed by Planned Parenthood in their abortion "clinics" across the U.S. each year.

Planned Parenthood's Dr. Stone said SB 714 "didn't allow these very standard medical options to be given to our patients." Ironically, she had just said an obstetrician has two patients, mother and baby. Sparing the baby the unconsented-to "option" of being killed is the point of the bill. But "very standard medical options" (note the aversion to the word *abortion*) doesn't tell the half of it for Planned Parenthood: "preferred option" might be more apt, given their advocacy for abortion on demand, abortion at taxpayer expense, abortion as birth control . . . and a death toll of their own of 250,000 babies a year.

The Governor said the bill "does not include exemptions for cases of incest and rape." That's very deceiving. Abortion would still be legal in cases of incest and rape and abortions in cases of incest or rape could still be paid for by Medicaid. The Governor said, "Many victims of rape or incest would have no option but to carry a fetus to term." According to the Oklahoma Health Care Authority, there was not one single rape or incest abortion paid for by Medicaid last year, even though doing so is legal (and would continue to be legal under Senate Bill 714 – just not in a state institution or by a state employee).

The Governor cited 'a fetus with a fatal birth defect,' saying we would be "forcing a woman to carry that fetus

to term." That is not correct. Abortion would continue to be legal in Oklahoma for any reason or for no reason. All the bill would do in this regard is establish that, insofar as state employees and state institutions are concerned, the mere fact that a baby has been diagnosed with a disability or a disease will not lead to the public-policy conclusion that the state, therefore, shall kill the child.

The Governor said, "[I]t is highly questionable whether this legislation could withstand legal challenges." The language was upheld by the highest court in the land 18 years ago, in the 1989 *Webster* decision of the U.S. Supreme Court. The Governor said, "[T]his bill would severely compromise healthcare." That statement is true only if one regards the killing of unborn children to be "healthcare." **Please vote to override the Governor's veto of SB 714.** Thank you.

Anthony J. Lauinger, State Chairman

Two attempts to override the pro-abortion veto failed by the margin of one vote – Nancy's vote.

Ethel Waters, the revered African-American vocalist of blues and spirituals, had occasion near the end of her life to recount its *beginning*: "My father raped my mother when she was twelve years old, and today they've named a park for me in Chester, Pennsylvania." Recounted in her autobiography, *His Eye is on the Sparrow*, her life is but one of many of children conceived in rape who went on to make great contributions to this world.

She might wonder how it makes sense, in logic or in law, to execute a child for the crime of his or her father? Abortion does not erase the trauma of a rape. Abortion compounds the first tragedy with a second tragedy – one for which the woman herself is responsible.

It is not valid to assume the best thing for a victim of rape or incest is to abort her baby. For society, abortion might seem to "solve the problem." But for the woman herself, it does not. Abortion often leads to psychological anguish and emotional devastation. Britain's Royal College of Psychiatry issued a warning in March that women may be at risk of mental health breakdowns if they have abortions. They advised that women should not have an abortion until they are counseled about the possible risk to their mental health.

There are more than one million unborn babies being killed by abortion in our country every year. One could rely on the absence of a rape exception as an excuse for opposing all manner of bills that seek to reduce abortions and save the babies we can. Or one could support these reasonable, modest regulations which, while not making abortion illegal, at least give some unborn children – and their mothers – a chance to avoid catastrophe.

That's why Nancy's votes against SB 714 were so disappointing. When the opportunity to help these babies came, she didn't give the benefit of the doubt to *life*.

Respectfully,

Tony Lauinger

Federal Candidate Survey

In anticipation of the General Election on Tuesday, November 4, Oklahomans For Life/National Right to Life asked the twelve questions below of candidates for the U.S. Senate and U.S. House of Representatives. The initial after a candidate's name represents party affiliation (Republican, Democrat, or Independent). On the first eleven questions, a "yes" answer indicates agreement with the position of Oklahomans For Life. On question 12, the answer "A," "B," or "C" is shown, as indicated by the candidate.

1) In its 1973 rulings in *Roe v. Wade* and *Doe v. Bolton*, the U.S. Supreme Court created a "right to abortion" for any reason until "viability" and for any "health" reasons – including "emotional" health – even during the final three months of pregnancy. Do you support the reversal of the *Roe v. Wade* and *Doe v. Bolton* decisions, so that elected legislative bodies may once again protect unborn children by limiting or prohibiting abortion?

2) Would you support federal legislation, such as the Ultrasound Informed Consent Act, to require that before an abortion is performed, the abortionist must perform an ultrasound and display the ultrasound images for the mother, so that she may view the images?

3) The right to life of human beings must be respected at every stage of their biological development. Human individuals who are at the embryonic stage of development should not be used for harmful or lethal medical experimentation. This applies equally to human beings whether their lives were begun by *in vitro* fertilization, by somatic cell nuclear transfer (human cloning), or by some other laboratory technique. We oppose harvesting "stem cells" from living human embryos, since this kills the embryos. (We are NOT opposed to other research on stem cells that are obtained without killing embryos, e.g., stem cells from umbilical cord blood and adult tissue.) Will you vote for measures to protect living human embryos from being used for medical experiments that would harm or kill them, including so-called embryonic stem cell research that would require the killing of human embryos, regardless of the method used to create these human embryos?

4) Human cloning (somatic cell nuclear transfer) is a process in which genetic material from one person is artificially transferred into a human or animal egg cell, thereby beginning the life of a new human individual who has only one parent and who is genetically nearly identical to that parent. We believe human life at every stage of biological development is deserving of respect and protection regardless of the circumstances under which that human life was created. It has been proposed to create human life through cloning for the purpose of destructive experiments on those humans, resulting in their deaths, a process sometimes referred to as "therapeutic cloning." Would you support a legal prohibition on all human cloning (i.e., the creation of human embryos by cloning)?

5) Would you vote for the current Hyde Amendment policy (restricting federal Medicaid funding of abortions) without weakening amendments?

6) Title X ("Title 10") of the Public Health Service Act provides more than \$300 million annually for grants to state and private entities for "family planning" programs. Although federal law does not permit such funds to be used directly for abortions, large amounts of Title X funds go to organizations (such as Planned Parenthood) that operate abortion clinics. Would you support legislation to make organizations that operate abortion clinics (not bona fide hospitals) ineligible for Title X funding?

7) Would you vote against any attempt to weaken or overturn the pro-life Mexico City Policy, under which, in order to be eligible for U.S. population-control funds, a private overseas organization must agree not to perform abortions (except to save the life of the mother, or in cases of rape or incest) or to "actively promote abortion as a method of family planning"?

8) Would you vote for the Child Interstate Abortion Notification Act (which prohibits the circumventing of state parental-notification or consent laws by making it a federal offense to transport a minor across state lines for a secret abortion) and would you oppose weakening amendments?

9) Across the nation, pro-abortion officials and advocacy groups have sought to use the compulsory powers of government to compel health-care providers to participate in abortion. The Abortion Non-Discrimination Act would prohibit state and local governments from discriminating against

any health care professional, hospital, HMO, insurance plan, or other "health care entity" because of that provider's decision not to perform, cover, or pay for induced abortions. Would you vote for the Abortion Non-Discrimination Act and oppose weakening amendments?

10) Some hospitals have implemented formal policies authorizing denial of lifesaving medical treatment against the will of a patient or the patient's family if an ethics committee thinks the patient's quality of life is unacceptable, even though the patient and family disagree. The federal Patient Self-Determination Act currently requires health care facilities receiving Medicare or Medicaid to ask patients on admission whether they have an advance directive indicating their desire to receive or refuse life-saving treatment under certain circumstances. Would you support preventing involuntary denial of lifesaving medical treatment by amending the Patient Self-Determination Act to provide that if failure to comply with a patient's or surrogate's choice for life-saving treatment would in reasonable medical judgment be likely to result in or hasten the patient's death, a health care provider unwilling to respect the choice for life-saving treatment must allow the patient to be transferred to a willing provider and must provide the treatment pending transfer?

11) Would you vote against any bill that imposes price controls or otherwise limits the right of older Americans who choose to do so to add their own funds on top of the government contribution in order to obtain Medicare health insurance that is less likely to ration medical treatment and prescription drugs?

12) The National Right to Life Committee (NRLC) believes that unborn children should be protected by law, and that abortion should be permitted only when necessary to prevent the death of the mother. Under what circumstances, if any, do you believe that abortion should be legal?
 A – Only to prevent the death of the mother (the NRLC position).
 B – To prevent the mother's death, in cases of incest committed against a minor, and in reported cases of forcible rape.
 C – Other

(To learn your Congressional District #, see our website www.OkForLife.org and click on "ID Your Legislators," or phone your County Election Board.)

Question numbers 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

United States Senate

Jim Inhofe	R	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	B
Andrew Rice	D	Survey not returned to us												
Stephen P. Wallace	I	Survey not returned to us												

United States House of Representatives

FIRST CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

John Sullivan	R	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	A
Georgianna W. Oliver	D	Survey not returned to us												

SECOND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

Dan Boren	D	no	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	B
Raymond J. Wickson	R	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	A

THIRD CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

Frank D. Lucas	R	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	B
Frankie Robbins	D	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	B
Forrest Michael	I	Survey not returned to us												

FOURTH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

Tom Cole	R	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	A
Blake Cummings	D	yes	yes	-	no	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	B
David E. Joyce	I	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	B

FIFTH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

Mary Fallin	R	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	A
Steven L. Perry	D	Survey not returned to us												

Oklahomans For Life Letter
 is published by
 Oklahomans For Life, Inc.
 3105 East Skelly Drive, Room 605
 Tulsa, OK 74105-6374
 Email: info@OkForLife.org
 Anthony J. Lauinger
 State Chairman, Editor

State Candidate Survey

In anticipation of the General Election on Tuesday, November 4, Oklahomans For Life asked the twelve questions below of candidates for the Corporation Commission and for the Oklahoma State Senate and the Oklahoma State House of Representatives. The most important question is No. 1, and the candidate's answer to that question is written in capital letters. (We asked Corporation Commission candidates, who could not literally "vote for" these measures, to indicate whether they "support" them.) For House and Senate races, the counties or partial counties (marked by an asterisk) in the district are indicated after the district number. The initial after a candidate's name represents party affiliation (Republican, Democrat, or Independent). On each question, a "yes" answer indicates agreement with the position of Oklahomans For Life.

- 1) Upon reversal of the U.S. Supreme Court's Roe v. Wade decision, will you vote for a law that would protect the lives of unborn children and prohibit abortion except to prevent the death of the mother?
- 2) If efforts to enact such a law failed, will you vote for a law that would prohibit abortion except when necessary to prevent the death of the mother, or when the pregnancy is the result of forcible rape (reported to law enforcement authorities within seven days), or when the pregnancy resulted from an act of incest committed against a minor (with the perpetrator reported to law enforcement authorities)?
- 3) Will you vote for a law to prohibit sex-selection abortions?
- 4) Will you vote for a comprehensive abortion-reporting law that would provide an understanding of the prevalence of abortion in Oklahoma, the reasons abortions are sought, the complications that result, and that would monitor, and ensure compliance with, our existing abortion statutes?
- 5) The right to life of human beings must be respected at every stage of their biological development. Nevertheless, some have proposed taking living human embryos from in vitro fertilization clinics and harvesting their stem cells, thereby killing these embryonic human beings. While we support research on stem cells obtained without killing human embryos – e.g. stem cells harvested from umbilical-cord blood or from adult tissue – we oppose killing embryonic human beings. Would you vote for a law to protect living human embryos from being used for medical experiments that harm or kill them, including so-called "embryonic stem-cell research" that would require the killing of human embryos?
- 6) Some researchers have proposed creating human life through cloning, a process wherein genetic material would be artificially transferred into an egg cell from which the nuclear material has been removed, thereby beginning the life of a new human individual. Cloning is being promoted in order to perform destructive experiments on embryonic human beings, which would cause their deaths, or to scavenge cloned humans' bodies for cells, tissue, and organs, resulting in their deaths. Would you vote for a law to prohibit all use of cloning to create any human beings, including human embryos?
- 7) Would you oppose the establishing or funding of school-based "health" clinics, unless they are explicitly prohibited from doing abortion counseling or making abortion referrals or referring students to any other entity which counsels for, refers for, or performs abortions?
- 8) There is currently an aggressive drive underway to legalize euthanasia and assisted suicide across the United States. Causing the starvation or dehydration deaths of persons with disabilities and of incompetent patients who are deemed to be a burden on others or to have a diminished "quality of life" is the euthanasia movement's interim goal while they seek eventual public acceptance of killing by lethal injection or by lethal overdose of controlled substances/dangerous drugs. Oklahoma's Hydration and Nutrition for Incompetent Patients Act protects incompetent persons who have not directed that they be deprived of food and water so they will not be victims of death by starvation or dehydration. Will you oppose any attempt to repeal or weaken this protective law?
- 9) Oklahoma law protects against the involuntary denial of life-saving medical treatment by requiring that if a health-care provider's refusal to comply with a decision made by or on behalf of a patient to receive life-saving medical treatment would, in reasonable medical judgment, be likely to result in the patient's death, then the health-care provider must provide

the life-saving treatment pending transfer of the patient to a willing provider. Will you oppose any attempt to repeal or weaken this protective law?

10) Will you oppose the legalization of lethal prescriptions or lethal injections to intentionally kill an innocent person or to "assist" someone in committing suicide ("active euthanasia")?

11) Oklahoma's advance directive (living will) law recognizes the particular importance of food and water. In order to ensure truly informed consent, our law requires a separately-initialed paragraph in an advance directive in order for artificial administration of food and water to be rejected. Some proposals have been made that would, instead, combine food and water with "medical treatment" such as respirators or surgery, so that choosing to forego life-sustaining medical treatment would be assumed to mean the individual wanted to die by starvation and dehydration. In order to avoid confusion and protect individuals who expect to die from their underlying illness or injury, not from starvation or dehydration, will you vote against any bill that would repeal or weaken the existing requirement for a separately-initialed provision in an advance directive to indicate the rejection of food and water?

12) Will you vote for a bill to establish an advance directive (living will) registry on the Department of Health website so that a patient's instructions could be more easily accessed by a health-care provider?

Oklahoma Statewide Candidates

Question numbers 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

CORPORATION COMMISSIONER (FULL TERM)

Jeff Cloud R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
Charles Gray D YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

CORPORATION COMMISSIONER (SHORT TERM)

Dana Murphy R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
Jim Roth D Survey not returned to us

Oklahoma State Senate Candidates

(To learn your Senate District number, go to our website www.OkForLife.org and click on "ID Your Legislators," or phone your County Election Board.)

Question numbers 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

DISTRICT 1 - *Craig, Delaware, Ottawa

Charles Wyrick D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 3 - Adair, Cherokee, *Sequoyah

Jim Wilson D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 5 - *Atoka, Choctaw, McCurtain, Pushmataha

Patrick K. Miller R Survey not returned to us
Jerry Ellis D YES yes yes - yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 7 - Haskell, Latimer, Pittsburg, *Sequoyah

Kenny Sherrill R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes -
Richard C. Lerblance D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 9 - Muskogee, *Wagoner

Earl Garrison D YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 11 - *Osage, *Tulsa

Judy Eason McIntyre D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 13 - *Coal, *Garvin, Hughes, Pontotoc

Susan Paddack D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 15 - *Cleveland, *McClain

Jonathan Nichols R - yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
Diane M. Drum D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 17 - *Cleveland, *Oklahoma, *Pottawatomie

Donald Rominger, Jr R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
Charlie Laster D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 19 - *Garfield, *Kingfisher, *Logan

Patrick Anderson R Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 21 – *Lincoln, *Logan, *Pavne
 Jim Halligan R - yes yes yes yes yes - yes yes yes yes yes
 Bob Murphy D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 23 – *Blaine, *Caddo, *Canadian, *Grady
 Ron Justice R Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 25- *Tulsa
 Mike Mazzei R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 27 – Beaver, *Blaine, Cimarron, Harper, Major, Texas, Woods, Woodward
 Bryce Marlatt R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
 Bowdy E Peach D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 29 – *Craig, Nowata, Washington
 John Ford R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 31 – *Comanche, Cotton, *Grady, Jefferson, *Stephens
 Don Barrington R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
 Keith Erwin D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 33 – *Tulsa
 Gary A. Casey R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes - yes no
 Tom Adelson D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 35 – *Tulsa
 Gary Stanislawski R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 37 - *Tulsa
 Dan Newberry R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
 Nancy C. Riley D NO yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 39 – *Tulsa
 Brian A. Crain R Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 41 – *Logan, *Oklahoma
 Clark Jolley R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
 David Taylor D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 43 – *Cleveland, *Oklahoma
 Jim Reynolds R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
 David Boren D YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 45 – *Canadian, *Cleveland, *Oklahoma
 Steve Russell R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 47 – *Oklahoma
 Todd Lamb R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

State House of Representatives

(To check your House District number, go to our website www.OkForLife.org and click on "ID Your Legislators," or phone your County Election Board.)

Question numbers 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

DISTRICT 1 - *McCurtain
 Rusty Farley R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
 Dennis R. Bailey D - yes yes - yes yes - yes yes yes - -

DISTRICT 2 - *Sequovah
 Lawrence J. Mann R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes -
 Glen Bud Smithson D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 3 - *LeFlore
 Neil Brannon D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 4 - *Cherokee
 Mike Brown D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 5 - *Delaware, *Maves
 Doug Cox R Survey not returned to us
 Kelly Kerr D YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 6 – Craig, *Maves, *Rogers
 Chuck Hoskin D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 7 - *Delaware, Ottawa
 Larry Glenn D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 8 - *Maves, *Rogers, *Wagoner
 Ben Sherrer D YES - yes - yes yes - yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 9 - *Rogers
 Tad M. Jones R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
 Bill Snyder D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 10 – Nowata, *Osage, *Washington
 Steve Martin R - yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
 Eric Epperson D YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 11 - *Tulsa, *Washington
 Earl Sears R YES yes yes - yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 12 - *Rogers, *Wagoner
 Wade Rousselot D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 13 - *Muskogee, *Wagoner
 Debbie Lienhart R Survey not returned to us
 Jerry McPeak D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 14 - *Cherokee, *Muskogee
 George Faught R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
 Eugene Blankenship D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 15 - *Haskell, *LeFlore, *McIntosh, *Muskogee, *Sequovah
 Ed Cannaday D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 16 - *Muskogee, *Okmulgee, *Wagoner
 Jerry Shoemaker D - - yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 17 - *Haskell, Latimer, *LeFlore, *Pittsburg
 Brian Renegar D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 18 - *McIntosh, *Pittsburg
 Terry Harrison, Jr D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 19 – Choctaw, *LeFlore, *McCurtain, Pushmataha
 R. C. Pruett D YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes - yes

DISTRICT 20 – Atoka, *Brvan, Coal, Johnston, *Pontotoc
 Paul D. Roan D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 21 - *Brvan
 John Wayne Carey D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 22 - *Cleveland, *Garvin, *McClain, Murray, *Pontotoc
 Wes Hilliard D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 23 - *Tulsa
 Sue Tibbs R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
 Connie Dodson D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 24 - *Creek, *Hughes, *Okfuskee, *Okmulgee
 Steve Kouplun D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 25 - *Hughes, *McClain, *Pontotoc, *Pottawatomie
 Todd Thomsen R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
 Gary Starns D YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 26 - *Pottawatomie
 Kris Steele R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 27 - *Cleveland, *Pottawatomie
 Shane Jeff R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
 Cole Kozzara D YES yes yes yes no yes no yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 28 - *Lincoln, *Okfuskee, *Pottawatomie, Seminole
 Ronald G. Sheppard R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
 Ryan Dean Kiesel D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 29 - *Creek, *Tulsa
 Skye McNeil R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 30 - *Creek, *Tulsa
 Mark E. McCullough R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
 Joe Crowder D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 31 - *Logan, *Oklahoma
 Jason W. Murphey R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
 Jennifer Sherrill D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 32 - *Creek, *Lincoln
 Danny Morgan D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 33 - *Logan, *Pavne
 Lee R. Denney R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
 Mike Pierson D YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 34 - *Pavne

Aaron Carlson R YES yes yes yes yes - yes yes yes yes
Cory T. Williams D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 35 - *Noble, *Osage, Pawnee, *Payne

Rex Duncan R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
Rodger Ensign D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 36 - *Osage, *Tulsa

Eddie Fields R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
Scott N. BigHorse D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 37 - *Kav, *Osage

Brent Colle R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
Ken Luttrell D NO yes yes - yes yes - yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 38 - *Garfield, *Grant, *Kav, *Noble, *Osage

Dale R. DeWitt R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 39 - *Oklahoma

Marian Cooksey R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
Graham Guhl D YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
Richard Prawdzienski I Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 40 - *Garfield

Mike Jackson R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
Pierce Jones D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 41 - *Canadian, *Garfield, *Kingfisher, *Oklahoma

John Enns R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
Scott Cooper I NO yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 42 - *Cleveland, *Garvin, *Grady, *McClain

Lisa J. Billy R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
Brad Perry D YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 43 - *Canadian

Colby Schwartz R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 44 - *Cleveland

Tod J. Barrett R Survey not returned to us
Bill Nations D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 45 - *Cleveland

Aaron Stiles R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
Wallace Collins D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 46 - *Cleveland, *McClain

Scott Martin R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
Miranda Norman D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 47 - *Canadian, *Grady

Leslie Osborn R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
Harold Jackson D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 48 - *Carter

Pat Ownbey R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
John L. Moore D YES yes yes yes yes yes no yes no yes

DISTRICT 49 - *Carter, Love, Marshall

Sean Oliver R Survey not returned to us
Samson R. Buck D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 50 - *Comanche, *Cotton, *Stephens

Dennis Johnson R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
Daisy Lawler D YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 51 - *Cotton, *Grady, Jefferson, *McClain, *Stephens

Corey Holland R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
Tommy Cosgrove D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 52 - *Greer, Jackson, *Kiowa

Charles L. Ortega R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
Dan McMahan D YES yes yes yes yes no yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 53 - *Cleveland

Randy Terrill R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
Troy Green D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 54 - *Cleveland

Paul Wesselhoft R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
DISTRICT 55 - *Caddo, *Canadian, *Kiowa, Washita

Ryan McMullen D YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
DISTRICT 56 - *Caddo, *Canadian, *Grady

Phil Richardson R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 57 - *Blaine, *Canadian, Custer

Harold Wright R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
Perry Adams D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 58 - Alfalfa, *Grant, *Major, Woods, *Woodward

Jeff Hickman R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 59 - *Blaine*Canadian, Dewey*Kingfisher, *Major, *Woodward

Mike Sanders R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
Donald Edward Russell D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 60 - Beckham, *Ellis, *Greer, Harmon, Roger Mills

Dan Gambill R - - yes yes yes yes - - - - -
Purcy D. Walker D YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 61 - Beaver, Cimarron, *Ellis, Harper, Texas, *Woodward

Gus Blackwell R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
Jordan Shearer D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 62 - *Comanche

T.W. Shannon R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
Randy Warren D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 63 - *Comanche, Tillman

Don Armes R YES - yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 64 - *Comanche

Ann Coody R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 65 - *Caddo, *Comanche, *Grady

Joe Dorman D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 66 - *Tulsa

Jay K. Ramey R YES yes yes no - - yes yes yes no yes no
Lucky Lamons D YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 67 - *Tulsa

Pam Peterson R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
Sebastian Lantos D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 68 - *Creek, *Tulsa

Chris Benge R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 69 - *Tulsa

Fred Jordan R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 70 - *Tulsa

Ron Peters R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 71 - *Tulsa

Daniel S. Sullivan R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
George Bullock D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 72 - *Tulsa

Seneca Scott D Survey not returned to us
Lawrence Kirkpatrick I Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 73 - *Osage, *Tulsa

Jabar Shumate D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 74 - *Rogers, *Tulsa

David Derby R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 75 - *Tulsa

Dan Kirby R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 76 - *Tulsa

John A. Wright R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 77 - *Rogers, *Tulsa

Eric Proctor D YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 78 - *Tulsa

Jay Matlock R YES yes yes - yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
Jeannie McDaniel D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 79 - *Tulsa

Weldon Watson R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes
Chad Hawkins D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 80 - *Tulsa

Mike Ritze R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 81 - *Oklahoma

Ken Miller R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes -

DISTRICT 82 - *Oklahoma

Guy Liebmann R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

Jane Anderson D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 83 - *Oklahoma

Randy McDaniel R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

Edward K Holzberger D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 84 - *Oklahoma

Sally Kern R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

Ron Marlett D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 85 - *Oklahoma

David Dank R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

Bart Jay Robey D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 86 - Adair, *Cherokee, *Delaware

John Auffet D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 87 - *Oklahoma

Jason Nelson R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

Dana Orwig D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 88 - *Oklahoma

Al McAffrey D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 89 - *Oklahoma

Rebecca Hamilton D YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 90 - *Oklahoma

Charles Key R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

Linda James D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 91 - *Cleveland, *Oklahoma

Mike Reynolds R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

Richard Morrisette D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 93 - *Oklahoma

Mike Christian R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

David Castillo D Survey not returned to us

Jack Cherry I Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 94 - *Oklahoma

Kyle E. Coulter R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

Scott Inman D YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

DISTRICT 95 - *Oklahoma

Charlie Joyner R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

Michael A. Walker D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 96 - *Oklahoma

Lewis H. Moore R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

Dianne Hunter D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 97 - *Oklahoma

Joe Lott R Survey not returned to us

Mike Shelton D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 98 - *Tulsa, *Wagoner

John Trebilcock R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

Greg Frederick D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 99 - *Oklahoma

Willard Linzy R NO yes yes yes no yes no yes yes yes no

Anastasia A. Pittman D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 100 - *Oklahoma

Mike Thompson R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

James Baggett D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 101 - *Cleveland, *Oklahoma

Gary Banz R YES yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes

Donnie Lewis D Survey not returned to us

DISTRICT 92 - *Oklahoma